1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 GREGORY K. TOLBERT, 11 Petitioner, No. CIV S-99-2149 LKK GGH P 12 VS. 13 CHERYL K. PLILER, et al., 14 Respondents. ORDER 15 16 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding with counsel, has filed this application for a 17 writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States 18 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. 19 On August 23, 2004, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations 20 herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days.<sup>1</sup> Petitioner 21 22 has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. 23 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-24 304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire 25 <sup>1</sup> This court's order issued on May 31, 2005, adopting the June 10, 2003 Findings and 26 Recommendations is vacated.

## 

file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The findings and recommendations filed August 23, 2004, are adopted in full; and 2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is denied. DATED: June 1, 2005. /s/Lawrence K. Karlton
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE /tolb2149.805